Surprising Government Protections of Monopoly



There are several ways in which the government protects monopolies that are so pernicious that few see how damaging they are. By protecting monopoly, the government forces the rest of us to live and die by cutthroat competition.

Although the reality offends most

economists, competition, which destroys all profits and wages, is a tragic example of market failure. Ironically, such deadly competition, rather than a monopoly, results from barriers to entry.

The inability to capitalize on new ideas, utilize free land, and find new talent, all in conjunction with the burden of raising a family, lock entrepreneurs onto their dead businesses, killed by creative destruction, but still walking. Zombies! We watch in horror as they turn their cities to blight, desperately raise prices to no avail, fire workers, and keep filthy stores until they, too, finally succumb.

The right to compete is an <u>objective right</u> akin to the right of self-defense. And yet, most people who carry a weapon or know martial arts do so to prevent or stop violence, not starting it. Mutually assured destruction (MAD), the threat of deploying nuclear weapons, is an extreme example of preventing violence through self-defense.

Suppose a town could support ten appliance stores. Then, Amazon and Walmart washed in on an internet wave of creative destruction, leaving the city able to support just two appliance stores. But there are 10, and they fight to the death.

This will result in mutually assured destruction that will kill the town and kill all of them. Or, perhaps, one will remain standing in the blight, miles of crime-infested streets between them and most of their customers. What forces kept them in this deadly competition? Who or what is responsible?

These problems are the direct result of barriers to entry, imposed primarily by government protection of monopoly. These barriers prevent adaptation to creative destruction. This module describes these barriers and explains how the barriers cease to exist in a <u>land-based capitalist</u> economy.

The current economy is built around four critical ways in which the government creates barriers to entry through the protection of monopoly - 1) <u>intellectual</u> <u>property</u> monopoly, 2) <u>protection of private title to land</u>, 3) allowing certification of knowledge by purveyors of that knowledge, and 4) creating regulations.

The prevailing opinion is that these barriers to entry are good. One might expect to hear, "Thank God the government protects our private property, protects our ideas, and requires our doctors to be qualified." But the government does none of these things. It does precisely the opposite.

The Intellectual Property Monopoly

Those who choose to run an enterprise using the protected ideas of others without permission face fines, damages, and possibly even imprisonment. Consider an innovation that requires 25 differently-owned patented concepts to implement.

A single holdout could make the cost prohibitive or shut down the product altogether. Owners of lifesaving drug patents can raise the price of their products 1000-fold. Sometimes, it is as simple as the perfect song at the end of the perfect movie – but the artist will not give permission.

The counterarguments that innovation must be encouraged, research must be funded, and creativity must be rewarded for society's betterment are not counterarguments. Instead, they are a failure to "think outside the box," as the cliché goes, and realize that there is a far better solution than the current patent and copyright systems. The solution is a Pareto improvement. Artists and inventors will be better off. Society and the economy will be better off. Nobody will be worse off!

Check out the complete solution to <u>intellectual property</u>. Inventors today have a 5-year ticking time bomb to sell their invention to a major corporation or lose everything. Artists get nowhere without selling out to a major corporation. The intellectual property monopoly protects nobody but major corporations. Artists and inventors are thrown under the bus.

Monopoly of Land Title

The most significant barrier to entry is the ability to withhold land from a more efficient use or entirely from any productive use. If free land was on the nearby outskirts of a metropolitan area, where any person, family, or collective could settle and start a manufacturing or agricultural enterprise, a significant barrier to entry would be lifted.

This barrier goes beyond the elimination of free land at the margin. The monopoly of land is the essence of property law. The owner of land that contains the nation's only deposit of a rare earth element is free to develop or not develop that resource as they see fit. Nobody else can enter that business.

More typically, the owner of a gas station at the intersection of two busy roads and land on the other three corners can prevent a more efficient gas station from opening at the intersection. Every land parcel is unique and has comparative advantages, if not absolute, that are protected from would-be competitors by property law – the right to exclude more efficient land users by the nature of ownership.

Government protection of private property in land is at its worst when it protects land held by speculators, including land held by the government. Most land in the United States is unused, with some in and around developed areas. If an entrepreneur needs 12 acres to start a business and there are 12 idle acres nearby, government protection of private property in land creates a barrier to entry.

Readers who have read earlier modules are now aware of a curious <u>AFFEERCE</u> <u>business plan enigma</u>; only by defending private property in land can the monopoly power of private property in land be eliminated. We defend the right of a <u>commons trust</u> to purchase land and the right of <u>property owners</u> to sell their land into the trust.

Furthermore, the trust's charter demands that it rent the land to the highest bidder of ground rent through the treble. The charter also specifies how rents are to be distributed. These are all rights of land ownership and private property. Yet they create an "application layer" of land exclusivity that removes all barriers to entry.

Certification of Knowledge by Knowledge Purveyors

It is impossible to compete with universities because the only measure of success is the diploma issued by the university itself. "Diplomas" issued by unaccredited institutions have no value, nor should they.

With no possibility of competition, the quality of a university education declines as the cost rises. In any field where certification is handled by the same cabal that provides the training, quality falls, and prices rise.

It is not wrong that universities can engage in monopoly pricing. All businesses should strive to charge monopoly prices. Instead, it is an inelasticity of demand created by the propaganda and laws surrounding the value of their diploma.

The problem goes beyond government protection. The entire business community contributes to the problem, yet they have no choice. Shareholders do not expect the CEO to experiment with hiring non-graduates and those with alternative diplomas.

Standard business methods are more profitable. The business bears none of the cost of the diploma yet reaps the limited benefit it provides, while the burden of student debt acts to enslave the debtors to their jobs.

The problem is not confined to undergraduate degrees. Medical schools use high pricing and stringent requirements to limit the supply of medical doctors, destroy the quality of healthcare, and raise the cost of medical insurance precisely because their medical degree is the only legal barometer of quality. Unlike the undergraduate degree, this is complete government protection of monopoly, with imprisonment promised for those who choose to compete without a license, de facto granted by those same medical schools.

The testing distribution solves this problem everywhere. A voluntary standards group prepares testing questions for professional certification and student progress.

Thousands of test questions, if possible, are created in each category of knowledge to be tested. Givens in each mathematics problem are generated on the fly when each instance of the test question is created. All tests are created randomly from the knowledge categories when the test is administered.

Test results are not only the primary input to employers. The top 25% of all test scores appear on student resumes. Although students are paid a nominal amount to take each test, testing is a cost of free and unlimited education, and repeated scores of zero will find their way into the top 25% and resumes. Scores of zero seriously hurt the merit pay of the teacher as well.

Regulations

Complex regulations seldom improve safety but are designed to cost companies millions to comply. The major corporations demand strict enforcement because they are the only ones with economies of scale that can afford to comply. Complying with the regulations drives all their small business competitors out of business. Governments concerned about every aspect of your safety from cradle to grave are motivated by the desire to drive every type of small business out of business in service to their corporate benefactors. The same government that pretends to care so much about you has no problem committing genocide against the Palestinian people in service to other corporate benefactors.

Voluntary standards created by interested parties in voluntary standards groups (VSGs) are not regulations. The only regulation in land-based capitalism is the violation of standards document (VOS). Any non-compliant business can operate, provided they display their non-compliance as specified by the VSG (and they are not sanctioned by 2/3 of their neighbors). It is like the surgeon general warning about cigarettes but for everything. No bureaucracy can halt free enterprise.

Funding New Enterprise

For most people, the most significant barrier to entry is the risk of investing in a new enterprise. Families can be destroyed, retirement savings depleted, and all hope lost when 4 out of 5 businesses that start fail.

<u>Land-based capitalism</u> removes this barrier to entry, as well. The distributions of ground rent include an <u>Earth Dividend</u> for nutritious meals, warm and safe shelter, quality healthcare, and unlimited free education. Unlike cash, these funds cannot be used as collateral for loans or to repay debts. No matter what happens to the business, the necessities of life will continue.

Suppose the partners in a business agree to forego all salaries from operations during the life of the loan (not difficult with the Earth Dividend and perhaps an otherwise employed spouse or two). In that case, they are entitled to a low-interest

earmarked loan from the 1-year <u>advance rent fund</u> pool – if a <u>citizen investor</u> approves the business plan and takes on the small risk of failure.

Conclusion

Land-based capitalism eliminates the primary barriers to entry: the intellectual property monopoly, monopoly on land title, knowledge certification by knowledge purveyors, regulations, and the high risk of starting a business.

Without barriers to entry, there will always be a better alternative than pure competition. Small businesses will have the pricing power to adapt to creative destruction.